



Anne B. Wicks
Executive Officer

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA COURTS
Administrative Services Division
Office: Gallery Place
616 H Street NW, 6th Floor
Washington, DC 20001
Mailing Address: 500 Indiana Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20001-2131



Louis W. Parker
Administrative Officer

AMENDMENT NO. 1

TO: ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS

AMENDMENT: DCSC-16-RP-0077

ISSUE DATE: September 2, 2016

SUBJECT: Video Wall Solution

RFP CLOSING DATE: September 19, 2016 at 2:00 p.m., local time.

The subject RFP is amended as follows:

1. The closing date is hereby extended from September 15, 2016 to September 19, 2016, 2:00 P.M.
2. A non-mandatory site-visit is scheduled for Tuesday, September 6, 2016 at 10:00 A.M. on the 1st Floor of the Moultrie Courthouse - located at 500, Indiana Ave. NW, Washington DC, 20001. All interested firms shall confirm attendance by e-mail to jeffrey.washington@dcsc.gov no later than 9:00 A.M., September 6, 2016.
3. Response to Questions Received (**See Attachment A**)

ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS REMAIN UNCHANGED


One (1) copy of this amendment is being sent to only those offerors who received a copy the solicitation. Offerors shall sign below and attach a signed copy of this amendment to each bid to be submitted to the Court in response to the subject solicitation.

Proposals shall be mailed or delivered in accordance with the instructions provided in the original solicitation documents.

Offeror(s) shall submit their proposals in sealed envelopes, identified on the outside by the solicitation number and submission date, in accordance the instructions provided in the original solicitation documents. This amendment, together with your bid must be received by the District of Columbia Courts no later than the date and time specified for the proposal submission.

Revisions or price changes occasioned by this amendment must be received by the Courts no later than the date and time set for proposal submission. Failure to acknowledge

This Amendment Number (1) is acknowledged and is considered a part of the proposal for Solicitation Number DCSC-16-RP-0077, Banking Services.



Geoffrey Mack
Contracting Officer

This amendment is acknowledged and is considered a part of the subject solicitation.

Signature Authorized Representative

Date

Title of Authorized Representative

Name of Firm

ATTACHMENT A

Response to written question(s) received from prospective offerors(s).

VIDEO WALL SOLUTION DCSC-16-RP-0077

1. Question: Is the configuration of the video wall solid?

Answer: Prospective bidders must respond to the requirements stated in the solicitation. However, recommendations for an alternative may be submitted—in addition to the main response—for review and consideration.

2. Question: I know the RFP says that we can design a different configuration for the video wall but still have the same specifications. I just need to know the specifications to follow.

Answer: Links to the specifications are provided in Section C.2.2 of the solicitation.

3. Section C.2.2.3 Cables C.2.2.3.1 Eleven (11), 35' HDMI cables – How was the length of these cables determined? Where will the controlling hardware be located in relationship to the video wall location?

Answer: The Courts' assumption is that the controller hardware will be located in the same space in which the displays are located. The long, 35' cables were specified in an attempt to avoid getting them too short.

Prospective bidders must respond to the requirements stated in the solicitation. However, recommendations for an alternative may be submitted—in addition to the main response—for review and consideration.

4. Section C.3.1.1 The implementation plan shall include all information about the solution, i.e. overall design, technical architecture (including diagrams), requirements such as color scheme, refresh cycle, configuration parameters, character fonts/spacing, etc. - What is the desired format for the implementation plan?

Answer: Microsoft Word format will suffice.

5. Section C.3.2 The contractor shall work closely with Courts' Capital Projects and Facilities Management Division and other teams to identify the infrastructure items required to implement and maintain the proposed video wall solution. - Network cabling to each display will be required, will Mason be provided with drawings outlining location of nearest network closet and cable pathways from closet to displays?

Answer: The selected contractor shall work with the Courts on the networking requirements upon contract award. No network cables are expected to be proposed in response to this solicitation.

6. Section C.3.3.2 The contractor shall mount the monitors to the wall in proper layout, and connect all necessary cables among the monitors, wall outlets and content players. Any requirements shall be identified as stated above in Section C.3.2. - Who is responsible for any reinforcement (if required) of the mounting location and installation (if required) of power for the video wall monitors?

Answer: The Courts are responsible for the physical wall (e.g. reinforcement), power outlets, and network drops. Section C.3.2 attempts to refer to these *infrastructure* items— "The contractor shall work closely with Courts' Capital Projects and Facilities Management Division and other teams to identify the infrastructure items required to implement and maintain the proposed video wall solution."

7. Section C.3.4 The video wall solution shall allow a court employee (e.g. a courtroom clerk) to update any of the fields noted above and have that change reflected on the video wall in real-time, or very near real time. Where is/are the referenced court employees located? How many locations will require this capability? Will it be required to provide conditional access?

Answer: The court employees (e.g. a courtroom clerks, etc.) may be in a courtroom or office, either in the same or a different Courts building, at a computer terminal that is connected to the Courts' network.

With regard to conditional access, not everyone with access to a computer on the Courts' network should be able to present information on the displays. An authentication mechanism, at some level, must be in place to allow for this level of system security.

8. Can the solicitation due date be extended?

Answer: The closing date is hereby extended from September 15, 2016 to September 19, 2016, 2:00 P.M.

Where should the vendor locate any addenda made to the original solicitation?

Answer: www.dccourts.gov – Go to Court System Tap – Go to Contracting Opportunities

9. Would the court like to have the option of one scrolling ticker at the bottom of all monitors that unifies the bank of monitors?

Answer: Prospective bidders must respond to the requirements stated in the solicitation. However, recommendations for an alternative may be submitted—in addition to the main response—for review and consideration.

10. Would the court like specific dynamic content like weather or traffic to show on the monitors? a. -If so, how many monitors?

Answer: Prospective bidders must respond to the requirements stated in the solicitation. However, recommendations for an alternative may be submitted—in addition to the main response—for review and consideration.

11. Will it be the responsibility of the court to have power and data for each monitor to the video wall system?

Answer: Yes.

12. Would the court like for the total price to include three years of Maintenance and Support?

Answer: The offeror shall submit a complete price for the services specified in Section B.2 and in accordance with Section C, Scope of Services, of the Request for Proposals (RFP).

13. Part I, Section C.2.2.1.1.2 “The preferred display/screen is the NEC Model#P703” Would the Court be open to accepting 65-inch commercial grade monitors as a replacement for the 70-inch commercial grade monitors?

Answer: Prospective bidders must respond to the requirements stated in the solicitation. However, recommendations for an alternative may be submitted—in addition to the main response—for review and consideration.

14. C.3.4.1 “The system shall also allow for user-uploaded documents and/or media” Would the court like to show this dynamic content utilizing the eleven monitors as one giant video wall and not separate individual monitors?

Answer: This would be a “nice to have” option.

15. If the court requests a true video wall, is the vendor responsible for creating the content? What content would the court like to see?

Answer: The video wall will display the Courts' content.

16. Would the court like to have the option of showing the daily docket and flipping to any other dynamic content on each monitor?

Answer: This would be a "nice to have" option.

17. Would the court like the option to have each monitor show different dynamic content at the same time?

Answer: This would be a "nice to have" option.

18. Part IV, Section L:

a. L.10.1 "Offerors shall complete and return with their proposal the Representations and Certifications (Section A and Attachment J.2 – Anti-Collusion Statement, Attachment J.4 – Non-Discrimination, J.5 – Certification of Eligibility, J.6 – Tax Certification Affidavit and J.7 – Certification of a Drug-Free Workplace)."

b. We received the attachment J.7 – Certification of a Drug-Free Workplace, but no other attachments were included in the Solicitation. Will the attachments stated above be released?

Answer: www.dccourts.gov – Go to Court System Tap – Go to Contracting Opportunities - See Attachments J1-J9.

19. Under H.4 Security Clearance, we are subject to the Courts' security clearance process. Please provide a copy of this clearance process for vendors to review and comply with.

Answer: In order for a potential contractor (s) to work for the Courts, the Courts will need to process their background checks for security matters. The contractor(s) must fill out a Criminal History Request form correctly, it's a quick process. If their background check record is clear they may begin to work at the Courts.

20. In addition to COTS solutions will you also be open to a Cloud solution as long as it is in compliance with your IT EAB?

Answer: Yes

21. Since Cloud is a newer technology when compared to software will you allow references from customers who have implemented on-premise solutions while we work to grow our cloud reference base?

Answer: Yes

22. Will open source content be evaluated?

Answer: Yes